



## FUNCTIONS RELATED WITH DEGREE OF ESTIMATE IN HOLDER METRIC

Chittam Venkata Reddy

Research Scholar, Dept of Mathematics, Himalayan Garhwal University

Dr. Sudhir Gupta

Professor, Dept of Mathematics, Himalayan Garhwal University

**Abstract:** The authors evaluated the degree of estimate of meanings linked by the similar series in Holder metric by Borel's mean after establishing the Fourier atmosphere of series.

**Keywords:** Holder Metric, Vital

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The grade of calculation of a meaning  $f$  going to several courses by diverse Suability technique takes remained resolute by numerous Geometrician, Chandra (1982) bargain the degree of calculation of meaning thru Norlund alter. Well ahead continuously Chandra (1982) find the mark of guesstimate in Holder metric by matrix convert. In sequel Singh (2018) get the fault bound of intermittent utility in Holder metric over Mishra (2019) gave the oversimplification of consequence of Singh (2018). In this weekly we discovery the step of calculation of meaning in Holder metric thru  $(N, P_n)$  revenues.

### 2. DEFINITION

Contract  $f$  is a broken utility of retro  $2\pi$  inferable in logic of Lévesque terminated  $[\pi, -\pi]$ .

Lease Fourier series of  $f$  assumed through

$$f(t) \approx \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx}{n} \right) \quad (1)$$

Let  $C_{2\pi}$  mean Banach Space of altogether  $2\pi$  - intermittent unceasing function distinct continuously  $[\pi, -\pi]$  below sub-norm. Aimed at  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$  and certain constructive continual  $k$  the meaning space  $H_\alpha$  is assumed through next

$$H_\alpha = \{f \in C_{2\pi} : |(x) - f(y)| \leq k|x - y|^\alpha\} \quad (2)$$

Space  $H_\alpha$  stands a Banach space by the average  $f_\alpha$  de fined through

$$f_\alpha = \int_c^x f + \frac{sup}{x, y} [\Delta^\alpha(y, x)] \quad (3)$$

Wherever,  $f_c = \sup_{-\pi \leq y \leq \pi} |f(y)|$  and

$$\Delta^\alpha f(y, x) = \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \quad x \neq y$$

We will procedure the assembly that  $\Delta^0 f(x, y) = 0$

Metric influenced through average in (3) off  $H_\alpha$  is christened Holder metric. We transcribe

finished the paper  $\phi_y = (y + t) - 2f(y) + f(y - t)$  (4)

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_k}{(1+q)^k} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} q^{k-r} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{r+1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)} \right\} \quad (5)$$



2. RESULTS

In 1982 Mahapatra and Chandra (1982) careful the  $E_n^q(f, x)$  the container incessant utility  $f$  to attain mistake boundaries in Holder norm. The subsequent

Theorem – Contract  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$  plus let  $f \in H_\alpha$  then on behalf of  $m > 1$

$$\|f - E_n^\alpha(f)\|_p = o\left[\frac{1}{(m)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}} (\log m)^\beta}\right] \quad (5)$$

Upstairs proposition better by Chandra in 1984 and shown

Theorem – Contract  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$  plus let  $f \in H_\alpha$  then on behalf of  $m > 1$

$$\|f - E_n(f)\|_p = o\left[(n)^{\beta-\alpha} (\log n)^\beta\right] \quad (6)$$

Singh and Mahajan (1992) well-known the subsequent proposition to fault sure of indication transient finished (C,1)(E,1)alter.

Theorem 1 – Lease  $(z)$  distinct (4) be s. t.

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{H(v)}{v^2} dv = \{z\} \quad H(z) \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\int_0^t (v)dv = o\{tH(z)\} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (6)$$

Then on behalf of  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $f \in H_\alpha$  we obligate

$$\|E_n^{\alpha}(f) - f(x)\|_{w^\alpha} = o\left\{\left((n+1)^{-1} H\left(\frac{x}{n+1}\right)\right)^{1-\beta}\right\} \quad (7)$$

Theorem 2 – Consider  $w(t)$  definite (4) and on behalf of  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $f \in H_\alpha$  we obligate

$$\|E_n^{\alpha}(f) - f(x)\|_{w^\alpha} = o\left\{\left(w\left(\frac{x}{n+1}\right)\right)^{1-\beta} + (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n w\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right\} \quad (8)$$

In equal Mishra and Chari contributed the comprehensive outcome of upstairs theorem. They show the next.

Theorem 3 – Contract  $(z)$  definite (4) be s.t.

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{H(v)}{v^2} dv = \{z\} \quad H(z) \geq 0$$
$$\int_0^t (v)dv = o\{zH(z)\} \quad \Lambda(z) \rightarrow 0^+$$

Let  $N_p$  stand the Orland stability matrix spawned through non-negative  $(P_n)$  s.t.  $(n+1)pn = \alpha(P_n) \forall n \geq 0$ .

Then on behalf of  $f \in H_\alpha$   $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$  we ought to

$$\|E_n^{-NE}(f) - f(x)\|_{w^\alpha} = o\left\{\frac{(w(y-x))^\beta (\log(m+1))^\beta}{w^\alpha(y-x)} (m+1)^{-1} H\left(\frac{x}{m+1}\right)^{1-\beta}\right\} \quad (9)$$

Plus if  $w(t)$  mollifies (5) then on behalf of  $f \in H_\alpha$   $0 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$  we obligate

$$\|E_n^{-NE}(f) - f(x)\|_{w^\alpha} = o\left\{\frac{(w(y-x))^\beta (\log(m+1))^\beta}{w^\alpha(y-x)} w\left(\frac{x}{m+1}\right)^{1-\beta} + \left(\frac{1}{m+1}\right) \sum_{k=0}^n w\left(\frac{x}{m+1}\right)^{1-\beta}\right\} \quad (10)$$



In this tabloid we verify the subsequent proposition

Theorem – Aimed at  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $f \in H_\alpha$  before let  $f \in H_\alpha$  on behalf of  $n > 1$

$$|t_n(f) - f^\beta| = o(t^{\beta-\alpha} \log^{-1} t) \quad (11)$$

**LEMMA**

Lemma 5(a) - If  $\phi_y(t)$  definite in (5) then on behalf of  $f \in H_\alpha$ , obligate

$$|\phi_y - \phi_x| = (|y - x|) \quad (12)$$

$$|\phi_y - \phi_x| = (|t|) \quad (13)$$

Lemma 5(b) - On behalf of  $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq t \leq \pi$  we need  $\sin nt = n \sin t$

$$|K_n(t)| = (n) \quad (14)$$

Proof - For  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  plus  $\sin mt = m \sin t$  before

$$\begin{aligned} |K_n(t)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_n}{(1+q)^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{\sin(v+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi P_n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} (2k+1) \right| \\ &= \frac{(2n+1)}{2\pi P_n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} \right| \\ &= o(n) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5(c) -  $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq t \leq \pi$ ,  $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\sin nt \leq 1$  we require

$$|K_n(t)| = o(1) \quad (15)$$

Proof -  $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq t \leq \pi$   $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$

and  $\sin nt \leq 1$  —

$$\begin{aligned} |K_n(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_n}{(1+q)^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{\sin(v+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_n}{(1+q)^k} \left( \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi P_n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} \right| \end{aligned}$$



$$= o\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$$

**RESILIENT OF PROPOSITION 4**

Let  $S_n(x)$  signifies the incomplete quantity of fourier sequence assumed in (1) we need

$$S_n(y) - f(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{\sin\left(\frac{y-t}{2}\right)}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} dt \tag{16}$$

The (E,q) convert  $E^q$  of  $S_n$  is specified by

$$E_n^q - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_{n-k}}{2\pi(1+q)^k} \int_0^\pi \theta(t) \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{v+1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right\} dt$$

The (N,Pm) (E,q) of  $S_m(x)$  is specified by

$$\begin{aligned} E_m^{NE}(f) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_{n-k}}{2\pi(1+q)^k} \int_0^\pi \theta \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{v+1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right\} dt \\ &= \int_0^\pi \theta(t) K_m(t) \\ &= \left[ \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} \right] \theta K_m(t) \end{aligned}$$

Now  $E_m(x) = |E_m^{NE}(f) - (x)|$  and  $E_m(y, x) = |E_m(y) - E_m(x)|$

$$E_m(y, x) = |E_m(y) - E_m(x)|$$

$$= \left[ \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} \right] |\phi_x - \phi_y| |K_m(t)| dt$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 \tag{18}$$

Again  $I_1 = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} |\phi_y - \phi_x| |K_m| dt$

With lemma (2) we grow

$$= (m) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} t^m dt$$

$$= (m) \left[ \frac{t^{m+1}}{m+1} \right]$$

$$= (m)^{-1} \tag{19}$$

Now

$$I_2 = \left[ \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} \right] |\phi_x - \phi_y| |K_m| dt$$

$$= (m)^{-1}$$



Again  $I_1 = \int_0^m |\phi - \phi_y| |k_m| dt$   
 $= (|y - x|^{\alpha} m)$  (21)

$$I = \int_0^m |\phi - \phi| |k_y| (t) dt$$

$$= o|x - y|^{\alpha} \int_0^m |k_y| (t) dt$$

$$= o|y - x|^{\alpha} \int_0^m \left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt$$

$$= (|y - x|^{\alpha} \log m)$$
 (22)

Currently  $I_r = I_r = I_r = I_r$   
 $r = 1, 2, \dots$

Since (6) and (8)

we contract

$$I_1 = o [(m)^{\alpha} (|y - x| (m)^{\beta})]^{\beta}$$

$$= o [(m)^{-\alpha} |y - x|^{\beta} (m)^{\beta}]$$

$$= o [(m)^{\beta - \alpha + \beta} |y - x|^{\beta}]$$
 (23)

Since (7) and (9)

we get

$$I_2 = o [(m)^{-\alpha} (|y - x| (\log m))^{\beta}]$$

$$= o [(m)^{-\alpha} |y - x|^{\beta} (\log m)^{\beta}]$$
 (24)
$$= o [(m)^{-\alpha} |y - x|^{\beta} (\log m)^{\alpha}]$$

Currently

from (10) besides (11)

we change to

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = o [(m)^{\beta - \alpha + \beta} |y - x|^{\beta}] + o [(m)|y - x| (\log m)]$$

$$= o [(m)^{-\alpha} |y - x|^{\beta} (\log m)^{\beta}]$$

$$= o [(m)^{-\alpha} |y - x|^{\beta} (\log m)^{\alpha}]$$

$$= o [(m)^{-\alpha} |y - x|^{\beta} (\log m)^{\alpha}]$$



( $y \neq x$ )

$$=o [(m)^{-\alpha} (\log m)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}] \tag{24}$$

Now  $f^* = [(m)^{-\alpha}] \tag{25}$

Joining (12) and (13) we grow

$$|t_n(f) - f^*| = o [(m)^{-\alpha} (\log n)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}]$$

These ample the proof of proposition.

**REFERENCES**

- K.Qureshi , "On degree of approximation to a function belonging to the class Lip $\alpha$ ",Indian Jour.of Pure Appl. Math., 13 No.8,PP.898 ,1982.
- Hardy G H, Divergent series, Oxford (1949) Hardy G H and Littlewood J E, The allied series of Fourier series, Proc. London Math. Soc. 24 (1926) 211-246
- Mohanty R and Ray B K, On the convergence and absolute convergence of some series associated with Fourier series, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 86 (1994) 89-98
- Premchandra, Degree of approximation of functions in the H61der metric by Borel's mean, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 149 (1990) 236-246
- P.chandra," Degree of Approximation of function in the Holder metric Jour. Indian Math. Soc.,53,PP. 99 -114,1988
- T. Singh and P. Mahajan,"Error bound of periodic signal in the Holder metric, International journal of mathematics and Mathematical Science, vol. 2008 article ID 495075, 9 pages,2008.
- Santosh Kumar Sinha and U.K.Shrivastava " The Almost (E,q) (N,Pn) Summability of Fourier Series" Int.J.Math.&Phy.Sci.Research, Vol 2, Issue 1,PP.553-555, Apr – Sep 2014.
- R. N. Mohapatra and p. Chandra, "Continuous function and their Euler, Borel And Taylor mean ",Math.Chronicle,11, PP81-96 ,1982.
- Vishnu Narayan Mishra and Kejal Khatri,"Degree of Approximation of Function  $f \in$



- $H_w$  Class by the  $(N_p, E^1)$  Means in the Holder Metric," international journal of mathematics and Mathematical Science, vol. 2014, article ID 837408, 9 page 2014.
- Das G, Ojha A K and Ray B K, Degree of approximation of functions associated with Hardy Littlewood series in the  $H_1$ der metric by Euler means, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 106 (1996), 227-243
  - P.Chandra,"Degree of approximation of function in the Holder metric by Borel Means",Journal of Mathematical Anal. And Applications,Vol.149,Issue 1, pp. 236 – 248,1990.
  - G.Das,T.ghosh and B.K.Ray,"Degree of approximation of function in the Holder Metric by  $(e,c)$  means" Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Science,vol.10 pp.315-327,1995.
  - P.Chandra,"On the generalized Fejer means in the metric of Holder space," Mathematische Nachrichten, vol.109,no.1,pp. 39 -45,1982.
  - R.N.Mohapatra and P.chandra"Degree of approximation of function in Holder metric " Acta Mathematica Hungaria,vol41,no.1 -2,pp. 67-76,1983.
  - Prössdorf S, Zur konvergenz der Fourierreihen  $H_1$ der stetiger Funktionen, Math. Nachr. 69(1975) 7-14