International Research Journal of Mathematics, Engineering and IT
ISSN: (2349-0322)
Impact Factor- 5.489, Volume 5, Issue 4, April 2018
Website- www.aarf.asia, **Email** : editor@aarf.asia , editoraarf@gmail.com

PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF ROUTING PROTOCOLS IN MANET

S. Joy Kumar

Research Scholar, Bharathiar University,Coimbatore

Dr. A. Yesu Babu

Professor & Hod,Dept.Of CSE, Sir Cr Reddy College Of Engineering, ,Eluru

ABSTRACT

During the last few years we have all witnessed steadily increasing growth in the deployment of Mobile Ad hoc network (MANET). They consist of nodes that are able to communicate through the use of wireless mediums and form dynamic topologies. Unfortunately, mostly broadly used routing protocols in MANET have no security considerations and trust to the participant nodes in order to correctly forward routing and data traffic. In order to maintain connectivity in such network all participating nodes have to perform routing of network traffic. The cooperation of nodes cannot be enforced by a centralize administration authority since one does not exist. Therefore, network layer protocols are key force to enforce connectivity and security requirements in order to guarantee the undisrupted operation of the higher layer protocols.

1. I.INTRODUCTION

A Mobile Ad Hoc Network (MANET), is a network comprising of a set of mobile hosts proficient of communicating with each other without the help of base stations [1]. It signify complex distributed systems that contain mobile nodes that can animatedly self-form into arbitrary ad-hoc network topologies, tolerating people and devices to effortlessly work in areas with no previous communication infrastructure such as, disaster recovery environments. A abundance routing protocols have been proposed for this network in the Past. Such protocols can be categorized according to the routing approach that they follow to determine route to the destination. Routing protocols are categorized into 3 categories. Those are

Proactive protocols, Reactive protocols and Hybrid protocols. A brief representation is shown below in the FIGUREure1.1



FIGURE.1.1 Major Category of Routing Protocols in MANET

The Internet Engineering Task Force suggests two types of metrics for evaluating the performance of MANET. These are qualitative metrics and quantitative metrics. In the first phase, the routing protocols are located that may be appropriate in high speed wireless communications based on qualitative metrics. In the second phase, the chosen protocols are evaluated from the first phase based on quantitative metrics.

1.2 QUALITATIVE METRICS

1.2.1. Security: The wireless environments, along with the nature of the routing protocols in MANETs, whichrequire each node to participate actively in the routing process, introduce many security vulnerabilities. Hence, routing protocols in MANET must follow security mechanisms to address such vulnerabilities.

1.2.2 Loop Freedom: Generally this refers to protocols that determine routing information based on the BellmanFord algorithm. In a wireless environment with limited Bandwidth, interference from neighboring nodes transmissions and a high probability of packet collisions, it is essential to prevent a packet from looping in the network and thus consuming both processing time and bandwidth.

1.2.3 Sleep Mode: Nodes generally make use of batteries for their energy source. Hence, protocol in the MANETshould be competent enough to operate without any adverse consequences in the node's performance.

1.2.4 Unidirectional link Support: Nodes in the wireless environment may be able to communicate onlythrough unidirectional links. It is desirable that routing protocols in the network should support both unidirectional and bidirectional links.

1.2.5 Multicasting: Multicasting support is significant especially for the transmission of real-time data (forexample, multimedia data) in many nodes at the same time.

1.3 QUANTITATIVE METRICS

1.3.2 Route Acquisition Time: This metrics describes how much time will be taken by the protocol to discover abetter path? That is very important and primary concern in reactive type of routing protocols because the more time it takes, the more delay will be.

1.3.3 Efficiency: This metric is used to measure the efficiency of the protocol. It determines the packet deliveryfraction (PDF) over the total number of packets delivered and the energy consumption of the protocol for performing the operations.

7.3.4 End-to-End data Throughput and Delay: Such type of metrics are used in the network to determine theeffectiveness' of the routing protocol. These metrics are able to reveal increase delay and minimize data throughput in MANET.

Some traditional delay aware routing protocol which can be implemented for enhancement in the performance of MANET are presented.

1.4 COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENT ROUTING PROTOCOLS ON THE BASIS OF DELAY AWARE METRIC

There are different kinds of the traditional routing protocols in MANET which are working for the delivery of the data packets from the source node to the destination node. Let

us take the performance analysis of some very popular routing protocols of MANET using NS2 to quantify the qualitative metrics and quantitative metrics.

1.4.1 Simulation Result of Delay Metric for AODV against DS AODV Protocol.

Table1 Simulation Parameters

Simulation Tool	NS2
Topology area	100x100m
Simulation Time	500 sec
Application Traffic	CBR (Constant Bit Rate)
Number of nodes	60
Node Placement model	Uniform
Routing protocols under Comparison	DS-AODV, AODV
MAC Layer protocol	IEEE 802.11
Physical Layer protocol	802.11b
Data Rate	11 mbps
Node Mobility model	Random Waypoint model
Packet size	512
Flow specification	50 packets/second
Node pause time	20 m/s (for constant load)



FIGURE.1.2 Average End to End Delay versus Node Pause Time

1.4.2 Simulation Results of Delay Metric for DSDV and DSR Routing Protocol

Table 1.2 Simulation Parameters Graphical Representation

Simulation Time	3000 s
Number of Nodes	50
Simulation Area	100 m * 100 m
Transmission Range	25 m
MAC layer Protocol	802.11b
Routing Protocol	DSDV and DSR
Transmission Layer Protocol	TCP
Number of Streams	2,6,10
Queue Length	100
MAC Layer protocol	IEEE 802.11
Physical Layer protocol	802.11b



FIGURE1.3 Delay versus number of flows

1.4.3 Simulation Result of Delay Metric for AODV and OLSR

Table 1.3 Simulation Parameters

Connection Type	CBR/UDP
Simulation Type	1000*1000
Transmission Range	250m
Packet Size	512bytes
Number of Nodes	30-50-70-90
Duration	150 s
Pause Time	0 s
CBR_Start	30 s
Number of connection	10



FIGURE.1.4 Delay versus Speed Graph

1.5 CONCLUSION

On the observation using NS-2, it has been seen that quantitative metrics are more concern to identify end to end delay which is minimum in case of DS-AODV as compared to AODV as shown in Figure1.2. Also Figure 1.3 shows the end to delay comparison between DSDV and DSR routing protocols, where with increasing number of flows, DSDV has lesser delay as compared to DSR. From the experiments 3, it can be concluded very clearly that OLSR protocol has a very less delay than an AODV protocol when delivering a data packet to the destination node.

REFERENCES

[1] Shaily Mittal, Prabhjot Kaur," PERFORMANCE COMPARISION OF AODV, DSR and ZRP ROUTING PROTOCOLS IN MANET'S," International Conference on Advances in Computing, Control, and Telecommunication Technologies , IEEE computer society .pp 165-168,2015.

[2] Dinesh Singh, Ashish K. Maurya, Anil K.Sarje,"Comparative Performance Analysis of LANMAR, LAR1, DYMO and ZRP Routing Protocols in MANET using Random Waypoint Mobility Model" PP 62-66, 2016 IEEE.

[3] Parma Nand, Dr. S. C. Sharma," Routing Load Analysis of Broadcast based Reactive Routing Protocols AODV, DSR and DYMO for MANET" International journal of grid and distributed computing vol.4, No.1, PP 81-92,March 2013

[4] SreeRangaRaju, Jitendranath Mungara," Performance Evaluation of ZRP over AODV and DSR in Mobile Ad hoc Networks Using Qualnet" European Journal of Scientific Research ISSN 1450-216X Vol.45 No.4, pp.658-674, 2012

[5] Alexander Klein," Performance Comparison and Evaluation of AODV, OLSR, and SBR in Mobile AdHoc Networks" PP 571-575, 2013 IEEE

[6] Sree Ranga Raju , Dr. Jitendranath Mungara ," ZRP versus AODV and DSR : A Comprehensive Study on ZRP Performance" International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 1 – No. 12,pp 35- 40, 2015.

[7] C.Siva Rammurty and B.S. Manoj , "Ad hoc wireless networks architectures and protocols" ISBN 978-81-317- 0688-6, 2014.

[8] S. Murthy and J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves "An Efficient Routing Protocol for Wireless Networks", ACM Mobile Networks and App. J., Special Issue on Routing in Mobile Communication Networks, pp. 183-97, Oct. 2016.

[9] Syed Basha Shaik , Prof. S. P. Setty ," Performance Comparison of AODV, DSR and ANODR for GridPlacement Model" International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 11– No.12, pp 6-9, December 2015.

[10] Hass, Zygmunt J., Pearhman, Marc R., Samar, P.: Intrazone Routing Protocol (IARP), June 2001, IETF Internet Draft, draft-ietf-manet- iarp-01.text., 2014

[11] Hass, Zygmunt J., Pearhman, Marc R., Samar, P.: Interzone Routing Protocol (IERP), June 2001, IETF Internet Draft, draft-ietf-manet- ierp-01.text., 2012