



A STUDY ON INVERSE STRONG TERNARY GAMMA SEMIRING

M. Sajani Lavanya¹, Dr. D. Madhusudhana Rao² and M. Vasantha³

¹Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, A.C. College, Guntur, A.P. India.

²Selection Grade Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, V. S. R & N.V.R. College, Tenali,
A.P. India.

³Asst. Professor, GVVIT Engineering College, Tundurru, Bhimavaram, A. P. India.

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce the notions of Strong Ternary Gamma Semiring ($ST\Gamma$ -Semiring). We prove that may result. We establish some relationship between the idempotent for both the addition and ternary multiplication. We prove in the case $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring, that the set of ternary multiplicative idempotent; $E^{[1]}(T)$ is closed under the ternary multiplication and so $(T, \Gamma, +, [\])$ is an orthodox $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A09, 16A78, 20M07, 20M18.

Key Words: Ternary Γ -Semiring, $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring, Idempotent, Orthodox $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring, Medial law, left inverse law.

Introduction:

The ring of integers has a great role in the theory of rings. The ternary operations are used to study the static hazards in combinational switching circuits by means of a suitable ternary switching algebra. The ternary operations appear also in the study of Quark model to explain the non-observability of isolated quarks as a phenomenon of algebraic confinement.

1. $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring:

Definition 1.1: Let T and Γ be two additive commutative semi groups. T is said to be a **Strong Ternary Γ -Semiring** or simply called **$ST\Gamma$ -Semiring** if there exist a mapping from $T \times \Gamma \times T \times \Gamma \times T$ to T which maps $(x_1, \alpha, x_2, \beta, x_3) \rightarrow [x_1 \alpha x_2 \beta x_3]$ satisfying the conditions:

- i) $[[aab\beta c]\gamma d\delta e] = [a\alpha[b\beta c\gamma d]\delta e] = [aab\beta[c\gamma d\delta e]]$
- ii) $[(a + b)\alpha c\beta d] = [a\alpha c\beta d] + [b\alpha c\beta d]$
- iii) $[a(b + c)\beta d] = [aab\beta d] + [aac\beta d]$
- iv) $[aab\beta(c + d)] = [aab\beta c] + [aab\beta d]$
- v) $[a\alpha b\beta c] = [c\beta b\alpha a]$ for all $a, b, c, d \in T$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$.

Definition 1.2: A non-empty subset W of a strong ternary Γ -Semiring T is a strong ternary sub Γ -Semiring if and only if $W + W \subseteq W$ and $W\Gamma W\Gamma W \subseteq W$.

Definition 1.3: A non-empty subset I of a $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring T is a *left (resp. Lateral, right) $ST\Gamma$ -Ideal* of T if and only if I is additive sub semi group of T and $T\Gamma T\Gamma I \subseteq I$ (resp. $T\Gamma I\Gamma T \subseteq I, I\Gamma T\Gamma T \subseteq I$).

Definition 1.4: A non-empty subset I of a $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring T is a *$ST\Gamma$ -Ideal* of T Provided I is left, lateral and right $ST\Gamma$ -Ideal of T .

Definition 1.5: An element x of a $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring T is said to be *ternary multiplicatively regular* if there exist $y \in T$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $x\alpha y\beta x = x$ and $y\alpha x\beta y = y$. Then the element y is called a *ternary multiplicative inverse* of x .

Definition 1.6: An element x of a $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring T is said to be *additively regular* provided there exist $y \in T$ such that $x + y + x = x$ and $y + x + y = y$. Then the element y is called *additive inverse* of x .

Definition 1.7: A $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring T is said to be *additive (resp. Ternary multiplicative) inverse $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring* provided every element of T has a unique additive (resp. Ternary multiplicative) inverse.

Definition 1.8: Let T be an additive inverse $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring and a' denotes the unique inverse of a . We say that T satisfies the conditions (P), (Q) or (R) for all $a, b \in T$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

- 1. (P) $a\alpha(a + a')\beta a = a + a',$ 2. (Q) $a\alpha a\beta(b + b') = (b + b')\alpha a\beta a = a\alpha(b + b')\beta a$
- 3. (R) $a + a\alpha(b + b')\beta a = a.$

Definition 1.9: Let T be a $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring. We denote by $E^+(T) = \{a \in T / a + a = a\}$ the set of additive idempotent and by $E^{1}(T) = \{e \in T, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma / e\alpha e\beta e = e\}$ the set of all ternary multiplicative idempotent.

Note that $E^+(T)$ is a ternary multiplicative $ST\Gamma$ -Ideal of T .

2. Inverses in ST Γ -Semiring:

Theorem 2.1: Any ST Γ -Semiring T satisfies the medial law: for all $p_i, q_i, r_i \in T$

$$(p_1\Gamma p_2\Gamma p_3)\Gamma(q_1\Gamma q_2\Gamma q_3)\Gamma(r_1\Gamma r_2\Gamma r_3) = (p_1\Gamma q_1\Gamma p_3)\Gamma(p_2\Gamma q_2\Gamma r_2)\Gamma(r_1\Gamma q_3\Gamma r_3)$$

Proof: for all $p_i, q_i, r_i \in T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p_1\Gamma p_2\Gamma p_3)\Gamma(q_1\Gamma q_2\Gamma q_3)\Gamma(r_1\Gamma r_2\Gamma r_3) &= p_1\Gamma[p_2\Gamma p_3\Gamma(q_1\Gamma q_2\Gamma q_3)]\Gamma(r_1\Gamma r_2\Gamma r_3) \\ &= p_1[(p_2\Gamma p_3\Gamma q_1)\Gamma q_2\Gamma q_3]\Gamma(r_1\Gamma r_2\Gamma r_3) = p_1[(q_1\Gamma p_3\Gamma p_2)\Gamma q_2\Gamma q_3]\Gamma(r_1\Gamma r_2\Gamma r_3) \\ &= (p_1\Gamma q_1\Gamma p_3)\Gamma(p_2\Gamma q_2\Gamma q_3)\Gamma(r_1\Gamma r_2\Gamma r_3) = (p_1\Gamma q_1\Gamma p_3)\Gamma[p_2\Gamma q_2\Gamma(q_3\Gamma r_1\Gamma r_2)]\Gamma r_3 \\ &= (p_1\Gamma q_1\Gamma p_3)\Gamma[p_2\Gamma q_2\Gamma(r_2\Gamma r_1\Gamma q_3)]\Gamma r_3 = (p_1\Gamma q_1\Gamma p_3)\Gamma(p_2\Gamma q_2\Gamma r_2)\Gamma(r_1\Gamma q_3\Gamma r_3) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2: Let T be an additive inverse ST Γ -Semiring

- 1) If $e \in E^{[1]}(T)$ and $e' \in E^{[1]}(T)$, then $e = e'$
- 2) $e \in E^{[1]}(T)$, then $e' \in E^{[1]}(T)$ and $e + e' \in E^{[1]}(T)$
- 3) $E^{[1]}(T)\Gamma E^{[1]}(T)\Gamma E^{[1]}(T) \subseteq E^{[1]}(T)$ and in this case T is called a **ST Γ -Orthodox Semiring**.

Proof: 1) $e' = e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e' = e\Gamma e\Gamma e = e$ so $e = e'$.

2) $e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e' = e'\Gamma e'\Gamma(e' + e + e') = e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e' + e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e + e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e'$ and

$e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e + e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e' + e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e = e'\Gamma e'\Gamma(e + e' + e) = e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e$. Therefore $e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e$ is an additive inverse of $e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e'$. Here T is additive inverse ST Γ -Semiring $(e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e)' = e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e$. In other hand $e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e = e'\Gamma(e' + e + e')\Gamma e = e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e + e'\Gamma e\Gamma e + e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e$ and then $(e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e)' = e\Gamma e\Gamma e'$. Using the unicity, $(e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e)' = e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e = (e\Gamma e\Gamma e)'$. Finally using same expression, we have $(e\Gamma e\Gamma e)' = (e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e)$ but as $e \in E^{[1]}(T)$ $e' = (e\Gamma e\Gamma e)' = e'\Gamma e'\Gamma e'$ and hence $e' \in E^{[1]}(T)$ and

$$(e + e') + (e + e') = (e + e' + e) + e' = e + e'$$

3) $i, j, k \in E^{[1]}(T)$ from theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} (i\Gamma j\Gamma k)\Gamma(i\Gamma j\Gamma k)\Gamma(i\Gamma j\Gamma k) &= (i\Gamma i\Gamma k)\Gamma(j\Gamma j\Gamma j)\Gamma(i\Gamma k\Gamma k) = (i\Gamma i\Gamma k)\Gamma j\Gamma(i\Gamma k\Gamma k) = i\Gamma i\Gamma(k\Gamma j\Gamma i)\Gamma k\Gamma k \\ &= i\Gamma i\Gamma(i\Gamma j\Gamma k)\Gamma k\Gamma k = (i\Gamma i\Gamma i)\Gamma j\Gamma(k\Gamma k\Gamma k) = i\Gamma j\Gamma k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $i\Gamma j\Gamma k \in E^{[1]}(T)$. Hence $E^{[1]}(T)\Gamma E^{[1]}(T)\Gamma E^{[1]}(T) \subseteq E^{[1]}(T)$.

Theorem 2.3: Let T be a ST Γ -Semiring. If $a', b', c' \in T$ denotes additive inverse of a, b, c then $a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c'$ is an additive inverse of $a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c'$.

Proof: $a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c' + a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c' + a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c' = a'\Gamma b'\Gamma(c\Gamma c'\Gamma c) = a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c'$ and

$$a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c' + a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c + a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c' = a'\Gamma b'\Gamma(c'\Gamma c\Gamma c') = a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c'.$$

Therefore $a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c$ is an additive inverse of $a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c'$.

Corollary 2.4: Let T be an additive inverse $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring. Then for any element a, b, c in T , the following conditions are hold:

- 1) $a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c = a\Gamma b'\Gamma c' = a'\Gamma b\Gamma c'$
- 2) $(a\Gamma b\Gamma c)' = a'\Gamma b\Gamma c = a\Gamma b'\Gamma c = a\Gamma b\Gamma c'$

Proof: 1) As proved in the theorem 2.3, we can show that $a\Gamma b'\Gamma c'$ and $a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c$ are also two additive inverses of $a'\Gamma b'\Gamma c'$ and the conclusion follows from the uniqueness of additive inverse of any element of T .

2) Proof is trivial.

Corollary 2.5: Let T be an additive inverse $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring. Then for any element a in T , the following conditions are hold:

- 1) $(a')^* = (a^*)'$
- 2) $(a + a' + a)^* = a^* + (a')^* + a^*$

Proof: 1) $(a^*)' \Gamma a' \Gamma (a^*)' = [[[[a^* \Gamma a \Gamma a^*]']']] = [[[[a^*]']']] = [a^*]'$ by using uniqueness. In the other hand $a' \Gamma [a^*]' \Gamma a' = [[[[a \Gamma a^* \Gamma a]']']] = [[[[a]']']] = a'$. Therefore, the result follows.

2) $(a + a' + a)^* = a^* = a^* + (a')^* + a^*$ from the previous equality.

Theorem 2.6: 1) If T be a $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring and T satisfies the conditions (P) and (R), then for any additive inverse $x' \in T$, we have $x + x + x' = x$.

2) If in addition $x \in E^{\Gamma 1}(T)$ and it has a ternary multiplicative inverse then $3x = x$.

Proof: 1) From (R), we have $x + x\Gamma(x + x')\Gamma x = x$. But from (P) as $x\Gamma(x + x')\Gamma x = x + x'$, we deduce that $x + x\Gamma(x + x')\Gamma x = x + (x + x') = x + x + x'$ and hence $x + x + x' = x$.

2) From (R) we also have

$$x = x + x\Gamma(x + x^*)\Gamma x \Leftrightarrow x + x\Gamma x\Gamma x + x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x = x + x\Gamma x\Gamma x + x = x\Gamma x\Gamma x = x \Rightarrow 3x = x.$$

Since $x\Gamma x\Gamma x = x$ and hence $3x = x$.

Definition 2.7: Let T be a $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring and A be a subset of T . We define

$$A^l = \{t \in T / t\Gamma r\Gamma s \subseteq E^+(T), \forall r, s \in A\}$$

$$A^m = \{t \in T / r\Gamma t\Gamma s \subseteq E^+(T), \forall r, s \in A\}$$

$$A^r = \{t \in T / r\Gamma s\Gamma t \subseteq E^+(T), \forall r, s \in A\}$$

Theorem 2.8: Let T be a $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring and I be a subset of T , then $E^+(T) \subset I^l \cap I^m \cap I^r$.

Proof: Let $a \in E^+(T)$ and $b, c \in I$, then $(a\Gamma b\Gamma c) + (a\Gamma b\Gamma c) = (a+a)\Gamma b\Gamma c = a\Gamma b\Gamma c$

and $(b\Gamma c\Gamma a) + (b\Gamma c\Gamma a) = b\Gamma c\Gamma (a+a) = b\Gamma c\Gamma a$.

Similarly, $(c\Gamma a\Gamma b) + (c\Gamma a\Gamma b) = c\Gamma (a+a)\Gamma b = c\Gamma a\Gamma b$.

Therefore, $a\Gamma b\Gamma c \subseteq E^+(T)$, $b\Gamma c\Gamma a \subseteq E^+(T)$ and $c\Gamma a\Gamma b \subseteq E^+(T)$, finally $a \in I^l \cap I^m \cap I^r$.

Definition 2.9: A $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring T is said to be a *cyclic $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring* if in the definition 1.1, the condition (V) is replaced by (V)' $a\Gamma b\Gamma c = b\Gamma c\Gamma a = c\Gamma a\Gamma b$.

Theorem 2.10: Let T be a cycle $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring. If A is a left $ST\Gamma$ -Ideal, then

$$1) T\Gamma T\Gamma A^l \subseteq A^r, T\Gamma T\Gamma A^l \subseteq A^m \quad 2) A^r\Gamma T\Gamma T \subseteq A^l, A^r\Gamma T\Gamma T \subseteq A^m.$$

Proof: Let $a \in A^l$, $t, t' \in T$ and $x, y \in A$, then

$x\Gamma y\Gamma (t\Gamma t'\Gamma a) = a\Gamma x\Gamma (y\Gamma t\Gamma t') = a\Gamma x\Gamma (t\Gamma t'\Gamma y)$, since A is a left $ST\Gamma$ -Ideal and hence $t\Gamma t'\Gamma y \subseteq A$ and as $a \in A^l, x \in A$ then $a\Gamma x\Gamma (y\Gamma t\Gamma t') \subseteq E^+(T)$ and so $t\Gamma t'\Gamma a \subseteq A^l$.

Again $x\Gamma (t\Gamma t'\Gamma a)\Gamma y = (x\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma a\Gamma y = a\Gamma y\Gamma (x\Gamma t\Gamma t') = a\Gamma y\Gamma (t\Gamma t'\Gamma x)$, since A is a left $ST\Gamma$ -Ideal and hence $t\Gamma t'\Gamma x \subseteq A$ and as $a \in A^l, y \in A$, then $a\Gamma y\Gamma (t\Gamma t'\Gamma x) \subseteq E^+(T)$ and so

$t\Gamma t'\Gamma x \subseteq A^m$ and hence $T\Gamma T\Gamma A^l \subseteq A^m$.

Now let $a \in A^r$, $t, t' \in T$ and $x, y \in A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (a\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma x\Gamma y &= x\Gamma y\Gamma (a\Gamma t\Gamma t') = (x\Gamma y\Gamma a)\Gamma t\Gamma t' \\ &= [x\Gamma y\Gamma a + x\Gamma y\Gamma a]\Gamma t\Gamma t' = (a\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma x\Gamma y + (a\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma x\Gamma y \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \in A^r$ and then $x\Gamma y\Gamma a \subseteq E^+(T)$. Finally, $(a\Gamma t\Gamma t') \subseteq A^l$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Again, } x\Gamma (a\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma y &= (a\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma y\Gamma x = y\Gamma x\Gamma (a\Gamma t\Gamma t') \\ &= (y\Gamma x\Gamma a)\Gamma t\Gamma t' = (y\Gamma x\Gamma a + y\Gamma x\Gamma a)\Gamma t\Gamma t' \\ &= (a\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma y\Gamma x + (a\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma y\Gamma x \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \in A^r$ and then $y\Gamma x\Gamma a \subseteq E^+(T)$. Finally, $(a\Gamma t\Gamma t') \subseteq A^m$. Therefore $A^r\Gamma T\Gamma T \subseteq A^m$.

Theorem 2.11: Let T be a cyclic $ST\Gamma$ -Semiring. If $+$ is commutative and A is a ternary Γ -ideal, then $A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r$ is a ternary Γ -ideal.

Proof: Let $b \in A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r, t, t' \in T$ and $x, y \in I$.

$$\begin{aligned} (b\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma x\Gamma y + (b\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma x\Gamma y &= x\Gamma y\Gamma (b\Gamma t\Gamma t') + x\Gamma y\Gamma (b\Gamma t\Gamma t') \\ &= (x\Gamma y\Gamma b)\Gamma t\Gamma t' + (x\Gamma y\Gamma b)\Gamma t\Gamma t' = [(x\Gamma y\Gamma b) + (x\Gamma y\Gamma b)]\Gamma t\Gamma t' \\ &= (x\Gamma y\Gamma b)\Gamma t\Gamma t' = x\Gamma y\Gamma (b\Gamma t\Gamma t') = (b\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma x\Gamma y \end{aligned}$$

Since $x\Gamma y\Gamma b \subseteq E^+(T)$. Then $b\Gamma t\Gamma t' \subseteq A^l \rightarrow (1)$

$$\begin{aligned} x\Gamma y(b\Gamma t\Gamma t') &= (b\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma x\Gamma y = (t\Gamma t'\Gamma x)\Gamma y\Gamma b = t\Gamma t'\Gamma (x\Gamma y\Gamma b) \\ &= t\Gamma t'(x\Gamma y\Gamma b + x\Gamma y\Gamma b) \end{aligned}$$

Since $x\Gamma y\Gamma b \subseteq E^+(T)$. So $x\Gamma y\Gamma (b\Gamma t\Gamma t') \subseteq E^+(T)$ and hence $b\Gamma t\Gamma t' \subseteq A^r \rightarrow (2)$.

Now $x\Gamma (b\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma y = y\Gamma x\Gamma (b\Gamma t\Gamma t') = (y\Gamma x\Gamma b)\Gamma t\Gamma t'$. Since $y\Gamma x\Gamma b \subseteq E^+(T)$. Therefore,

$$x\Gamma (b\Gamma t\Gamma t')\Gamma y \subseteq E^+(T) \text{ and hence } b\Gamma t\Gamma t' \subseteq A^m \rightarrow (3)$$

From (1), (2) and (3) we have $(A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r)\Gamma T\Gamma T\Gamma T \subseteq A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r$.

In the other hand, as for all $b \in A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r$, $t, t' \in T$ one has $t\Gamma t'\Gamma b = b\Gamma t\Gamma t'$ and using the previous facts we get $T\Gamma T\Gamma (A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r) = (A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r)\Gamma T\Gamma T \subseteq A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r$.

Now let $x, y \in A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r$ and $a, b \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x+y)\Gamma a\Gamma b + (x+y)\Gamma a\Gamma b &= x\Gamma a\Gamma b + y\Gamma a\Gamma b + x\Gamma a\Gamma b + y\Gamma a\Gamma b \\ &= (x\Gamma a\Gamma b + x\Gamma a\Gamma b) + (y\Gamma a\Gamma b + y\Gamma a\Gamma b) \\ &= x\Gamma a\Gamma b + y\Gamma a\Gamma b \end{aligned}$$

Since $x, y \in A^l$ and hence $x+y \in A^l$, with the same arguments we can easily prove that $x+y \in A^m$ and $x+y \in A^r$. Finally, $A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r + A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r \subseteq A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r$.

Remark 2.12: It is clear that if in addition, T has a ternary multiplicative identity say 1 , then

$$T\Gamma T\Gamma (A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r) = (A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r)\Gamma T\Gamma T = A^l \cap A^m \cap A^r.$$

Theorem 2.13: Let T be a ternary multiplicative inverse STF-Semiring. If $x \in E^{l-1}(T)$, then $x^* = x$ and hence $x^* \in E^{l-1}(T)$.

Proof: For every $x \in E^{l-1}(T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x\Gamma x\Gamma x)\Gamma (x^*\Gamma x\Gamma x)\Gamma (x\Gamma x\Gamma x) &= x\Gamma x\Gamma [(x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x)\Gamma x\Gamma (x\Gamma x\Gamma x)] \\ &= x\Gamma x\Gamma [x\Gamma x\Gamma (x\Gamma x\Gamma x)] = x\Gamma x\Gamma [x\Gamma x\Gamma x] = x\Gamma x\Gamma x. \end{aligned}$$

In the other hand;

$$(x^*\Gamma x\Gamma x)\Gamma (x\Gamma x\Gamma x)\Gamma (x^*\Gamma x\Gamma x) = x^*\Gamma x\Gamma [(x\Gamma x\Gamma x)\Gamma x\Gamma (x\Gamma x\Gamma x)] = x^*\Gamma x\Gamma (x\Gamma x\Gamma x) = x^*\Gamma x\Gamma x$$

So the ternary multiplicative inverse of $x\Gamma x\Gamma x$ is $x^*\Gamma x\Gamma x$ but as $x\Gamma x\Gamma x = x$ and x^* is the unique ternary multiplicative inverse of x then $x^* = x^*\Gamma x\Gamma x$.

With the same considerations we can easily prove that $x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x$ and $x\Gamma x\Gamma x^*$ are ternary multiplicative inverses of $x\Gamma x\Gamma x$ and by the uniqueness of any ternary multiplicative inverse, we get $(x\Gamma x\Gamma x)^* = x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x = x\Gamma x\Gamma x^* = x^*\Gamma x\Gamma x$. But $x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x = x$ and then $x^* = x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x = x$.

Theorem 2.14: Let T be a STF-Semiring. If each element has a multiplicative inverse then for all $a, b, c \in T$; $c^* \Gamma b^* \Gamma a^*$ is a ternary multiplicative inverse of $a \Gamma b \Gamma c$, where a^*, b^*, c^* are respectively some ternary multiplicative inverses of a, b, c .

Proof: If $a, b, c \in T$ and a^*, b^*, c^* are as required, then

$$\begin{aligned} (a \Gamma b \Gamma c) \Gamma (c^* \Gamma b^* \Gamma a^*) \Gamma (a \Gamma b \Gamma c) &= a \Gamma b \Gamma [c \Gamma (c^* \Gamma b^* \Gamma a^*) \Gamma (a \Gamma b \Gamma c)] \\ &= a \Gamma b \Gamma [c \Gamma c^* \Gamma (b^* \Gamma a^* \Gamma a) \Gamma b \Gamma c] = a \Gamma b \Gamma [c \Gamma c^* \Gamma [c \Gamma b \Gamma (b^* \Gamma a^* \Gamma a)]] \\ &= a \Gamma b \Gamma (c \Gamma c^* \Gamma c) \Gamma b \Gamma (b^* \Gamma a^* \Gamma a) = a \Gamma b \Gamma [c \Gamma b \Gamma (b^* \Gamma a^* \Gamma a)] \\ &= a \Gamma b \Gamma [(c \Gamma b \Gamma b^*) \Gamma a^* \Gamma a] = a \Gamma b \Gamma [a \Gamma a^* \Gamma (c \Gamma b \Gamma b^*)] = (a \Gamma b \Gamma a) \Gamma a^* \Gamma (c \Gamma b \Gamma b^*) \\ &= (c \Gamma b \Gamma b^*) \Gamma a^* \Gamma (a \Gamma b \Gamma a) = (c \Gamma b \Gamma b^*) \Gamma (a^* \Gamma a \Gamma b) \Gamma a \\ &= (c \Gamma b \Gamma b^*) \Gamma (b \Gamma a \Gamma a^*) \Gamma a = c \Gamma (b \Gamma b^* \Gamma b) \Gamma (a \Gamma a^* \Gamma a) = c \Gamma b \Gamma a = a \Gamma b \Gamma c. \end{aligned}$$

In the other hand by replacing a, b, c, a^*, b^*, c^* respectively by c^*, b^*, a^*, c, b, a in the previous relation we have $(c^* \Gamma b^* \Gamma a^*) \Gamma (a \Gamma b \Gamma c) \Gamma (c^* \Gamma b^* \Gamma a^*) = c^* \Gamma b^* \Gamma a^*$.

So $c^* \Gamma b^* \Gamma a^*$ is an inverse of $a \Gamma b \Gamma c$.

Definition 2.15: Let a be an element of a STF-Semiring T , we define the powers of x as:

$$(x \Gamma)^2 x = x \Gamma x \Gamma x, \quad (x \Gamma)^4 x = x \Gamma x \Gamma x \Gamma x, \quad (x \Gamma)^{2n} x = (x \Gamma)^{2n-1} x \Gamma x \text{ for all } n > 1.$$

Theorem 2.16: Let T be a STF-Semiring. If x^* is an inverse of x then $x^* \Gamma x^* \Gamma (x \Gamma)^2 x = x \Gamma x \Gamma x^*$ and for all $n \geq 2$; $x^* \Gamma x^* \Gamma (x \Gamma)^{2n} x = (x \Gamma)^{2n} x$.

Proof: for all $x \in T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^* \Gamma x^* \Gamma (x \Gamma)^2 x &= (x^* \Gamma x^* \Gamma x) \Gamma x \Gamma x = (x \Gamma x^* \Gamma x^*) \Gamma x \Gamma x = x \Gamma x^* \Gamma (x^* \Gamma x \Gamma x) \\ &= x \Gamma x^* \Gamma (x \Gamma x \Gamma x^*) = (x \Gamma x^* \Gamma x) \Gamma x \Gamma x^* = x \Gamma x \Gamma x^* \end{aligned}$$

In other hand $x^* \Gamma x^* \Gamma (x \Gamma)^4 x = [x^* \Gamma x^* \Gamma (x \Gamma)^2 x \Gamma x \Gamma x] = x \Gamma x \Gamma x^* \Gamma x \Gamma x$

$$= x \Gamma (x \Gamma x^* \Gamma x) \Gamma x = x \Gamma x \Gamma x = (x \Gamma)^2 x$$

And so by induction for any $n \geq 2$,

$$x^* \Gamma x^* \Gamma (x \Gamma)^{2n} x = x^* \Gamma x^* \Gamma (x \Gamma)^{2n-1} x = (x \Gamma)^{2n-1} x \Gamma x = (x \Gamma)^{2n} x$$

Theorem 2.17: Let T be a STF-Semiring. If x^* is an inverse of x and n is such that $n \geq 1$ for odd natural number n ; then $x \in E^+(T) \Rightarrow (x \Gamma)^2 x \subseteq E^+(T) \Leftrightarrow (x \Gamma)^{2n} \subseteq E^+(T)$.

Proof: The first implication is trivial. For the equivalence; since $(x \Gamma)^2 x \subseteq E^+(T)$ and

$(x \Gamma)^{2n+2} x + (x \Gamma)^{2n+2} x = [(x \Gamma)^{2n+1} + (x \Gamma)^{2n+1}] x \Gamma x$. The direct implication can then be done by induction on $n \geq 1$.

The converse can be done by a decreasing induction: In one hand we have $(x\Gamma)^{2n} \subseteq E^+(T)$.

In the other hand, suppose that $(x\Gamma)^{2n-p-1}x \subseteq E^+(T) \quad \forall 1 \leq p \leq 2n-5$ then;

$$\begin{aligned} (x\Gamma)^{2n-p-3}x\Gamma x + (x\Gamma)^{2n-p-3}x\Gamma x &= x*\Gamma x*\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-p-1}x + x*\Gamma x*\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-p-1}x \\ &= x*\Gamma x*\Gamma[(x\Gamma)^{2n-p-1}x] = (x\Gamma)^{2n-p-3}x. \end{aligned}$$

So by taking $P = 2n - 5$, we get $(x\Gamma)^2x \subseteq E^+(T)$.

Theorem 2.18: If T is a cycle STF-Semiring then;

1) for all $n \geq 1$, x, y and $z \in T$;

$$(x\Gamma)^{2n}x\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n}y\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n}z = (x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma(x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma[(x\Gamma)^{2n-2}\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2}\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2}y] \quad (S)$$

2) If x^* is a ternary multiplicative inverse of x then $(x*\Gamma)^{2n}x^*$ is a ternary multiplicative inverse of $(x\Gamma)^{2n}x$.

Proof: Since T is a cycle STF-Semiring; $(a\Gamma)^{2n}a = (a\Gamma)^{2n-1}a\Gamma a = a\Gamma a\Gamma(a\Gamma)^{2n-2}a$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
(x\Gamma)^{2n} x\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n} y\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n} z &= [x\Gamma x\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x]\Gamma[y\Gamma y\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y]\Gamma[z\Gamma z\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z] \\
&= x\Gamma x\Gamma[((x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x)\Gamma[y\Gamma y\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y]\Gamma[z\Gamma z\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z]] \\
&= x\Gamma x\Gamma[((x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x)\Gamma y\Gamma y)\Gamma((y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y)\Gamma(z\Gamma z\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z)] \\
&= x\Gamma x\Gamma[(y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x\Gamma y)\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(z\Gamma z\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z)] \\
&= (x\Gamma x\Gamma y)\Gamma[(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x\Gamma y\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y]\Gamma(z\Gamma z\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z) \\
&= (x\Gamma y\Gamma x)\Gamma[y\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x]\Gamma(z\Gamma z\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z) \\
&= (x\Gamma y\Gamma x)\Gamma y\Gamma[(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x\Gamma z]\Gamma z\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z) \\
&= (x\Gamma y\Gamma x)\Gamma y\Gamma[z\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma[(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x\Gamma z]] \\
&= [(x\Gamma y\Gamma x)\Gamma y\Gamma z]\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma[(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x\Gamma z] \\
&= [(x\Gamma y\Gamma x)\Gamma y\Gamma z]\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma[z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x] \\
&= [x\Gamma y\Gamma(z\Gamma x\Gamma y)]\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma[z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x] \\
&= [(x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma x\Gamma y]\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma[z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x] \\
&= (x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma[x\Gamma y\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z]\Gamma[z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x] \\
&= [(x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma x\Gamma y]\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma[z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x] \\
&= [(x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma x\Gamma y)\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma z]\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x \\
&= [z\Gamma((x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma x\Gamma y)\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z]\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x \\
&= z\Gamma((x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma x\Gamma y)\Gamma[(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x] \\
&= z\Gamma(x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma[x\Gamma y\Gamma[(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x]] \\
&= (z\Gamma x\Gamma y)\Gamma z\Gamma[x\Gamma y\Gamma[(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x]] \\
&= (x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma z\Gamma[x\Gamma y\Gamma[(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x]] \\
&= (x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma(z\Gamma x\Gamma y)\Gamma[(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x] \\
&= (x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma(x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma[(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x] \\
&= (x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma(x\Gamma y\Gamma z)\Gamma[(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x\Gamma(z\Gamma)^{2n-2} z\Gamma(y\Gamma)^{2n-2} y]
\end{aligned}$$

2) By induction on $n \geq 1$ and by replacing in the equality (S), y, z respectively by x^*, x . We

get for $n = 1$;

$$\begin{aligned}
(x\Gamma)^2 x\Gamma(x^*\Gamma)^2 x^*\Gamma(x\Gamma)^2 x &= (x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x)\Gamma(x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x)\Gamma(x\Gamma x\Gamma x^*) \\
&= x\Gamma x\Gamma(x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x) = x\Gamma x\Gamma x = (x\Gamma)^2 x
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x\Gamma(x^*\Gamma)^{2n-2} x^*\Gamma(x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x = (x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
((x\Gamma)^{2n} x)\Gamma((x^*\Gamma)^{2n} x^*\Gamma((x\Gamma)^{2n} x)) &= (x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x)\Gamma(x\Gamma x^*\Gamma x)\Gamma((x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x)\Gamma((x^*\Gamma)^{2n-2} x^*\Gamma((x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x)) \\
&= x\Gamma x\Gamma((x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x)\Gamma((x^*\Gamma)^{2n-2} x^*\Gamma((x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x)) = x\Gamma x\Gamma((x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x) = (x\Gamma)^{2n-2} x\Gamma x\Gamma x = (x\Gamma)^{2n} x.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.19: Any ternary multiplicative inverse of an element of a STT-Semiring is unique.

Proof: Let x, y be two ternary multiplicative inverses of an element $a \in T$. Then

$x = x\Gamma a\Gamma x = x\Gamma(a\Gamma y\Gamma a)\Gamma x = x\Gamma a\Gamma(y\Gamma a\Gamma x) = x\Gamma a\Gamma(x\Gamma a\Gamma y) = (x\Gamma a\Gamma x)\Gamma a\Gamma y = x\Gamma a\Gamma y$ and by inverting x in y and vice-versa we get $y = y\Gamma a\Gamma x$ and since $x\Gamma a\Gamma y = y\Gamma a\Gamma x$. We get the uniqueness of the multiplicative inverse.

Conclusion: In this paper mainly we studied about Strong ternary Γ -semirings.

Acknowledgements:

This research is supported by the Department of Mathematics, VSR & NVR College, Tenali, Guntur (Dt), Andhra Pradesh, India.

The first author express their warmest thanks to the University Grants Commission(UGC), India, for doing this research under Faculty Development Programme.

The authors would like to thank the experts who have contributed towards preparation and development of the paper and the authors also wish to express their sincere thanks to the referees for the valuable suggestions which lead to an improvement of this paper.

References:

1. N. Chaptal, Annaeaux sont le demi group mutiplicatif est inverse, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Scr. A. B, 262(1966), 247-277.
2. J.S. Golon, The Theoriey of semirings with Applications in Mathematics and theoretical computer Science, pitman Mnographs and survees in pure and Applied Mathematics 54, Longman Scientific, 1992.
3. J. M. Howie, Introduction to the theory of Semigroups, Academic Press, 1976.
4. P.H. Karvells, Inverse semirings, J. Austral Math. Soc. 18(1974), 277-288.
5. G.C. Rao and B. Assaye, Almost semirings-I, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 36(1), (2012), 135-141.