



## ON FUZZY COMPLETELY PRIME HYPER BI- $\Gamma$ -IDEALS IN $\Gamma$ - HYPERNEAR –RINGS

<sup>1</sup>A.Kalaiarasi, <sup>2</sup>N.Meenakumari and <sup>3</sup>N.Navaneetha Krishnan

<sup>1,3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kamaraj College, Thoothukudi

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, A.P.C.Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi

### ABSTRACT

*In this paper, we introduce completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -hypernear-rings and completely prime radical and obtain their properties. Also we introduce fuzzy completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -hypernear –rings and investigated some of their properties.*

### 1.Introduction

The concept of  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa and generalized by Barnes [1]. The concept of  $\Gamma$ -near-ring, a generalization of both near-ring and  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by Satyanarayana [6], subsequently, the ideal theory of  $\Gamma$ -near-rings was developed by authors like Satyanarayana [6] and G.L.Booth [3]. N.Meenakumari and T.Tamilzh Chelvam [4] introduced Fuzzy bi-ideals in gamma-near-rings. N.Meenakumari and T.Tamilzh Chelvam [5] introduced C-Prime bi-ideals in gamma-near-rings. Bijan Davvaz, Jianming Zhan and Kyung Ho kim [2] introduced  $\Gamma$ -hypernear-ring which is the generalization of hypernear-rings. In this paper, we introduce completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -hypernear-rings and completely prime radical and obtain their properties. Also we introduce fuzzy completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -hypernear –rings and investigated some of their properties.

## 2.Preliminaries

### Definiation 2.1

A  $\Gamma$ -hyper-near-ring is a triple  $(M, +, \Gamma)$

- I.  $\Gamma$  - is a non empty set of binary operation such that  $(M, +, \alpha)$  is a hyper near-ring for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$
- II.  $x \alpha (y\beta z) = (x\alpha y) \beta z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

### Definition 2.2

A subset  $A$  of  $M$  is called left (respectively right)  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $M$  if it satisfies

- I.  $A$  is a normal sub hypergroup of  $(M, +)$
- II.  $u \alpha x \in A$  (res.  $(u+ x) \alpha v- u \alpha v \in A$ ) for all  $x \in A, \alpha \in \Gamma$ , and  $u, v \in M$ .

### Definition 2.3

A subset  $A$  of  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$  - hyperideal of  $M$  if it is both left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal and right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal.

### Definition 2.4

A hyper subgroup  $H$  of  $(M, +)$  is called a hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal if  $H\Gamma M \Gamma H \subseteq H$ .

### Definition 2.5

A fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $M$  is called a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $M$  if

- I.  $\text{Inf}_{z \in x-y} \mu(z) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$
- II.  $\mu(x \alpha y \beta z) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(z)\}$  for all  $x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

## 3. Completely prime $\Gamma$ - hyperideal

### Definintion.3.1

An  $\Gamma$  - hyperideal(hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal)  $I$  of a  $\Gamma$  - hypernear-ring is said to be completely prime  $\Gamma$  - hyperideal(hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal) if  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $a \gamma b \in I \Rightarrow a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ .

### Definition 3.2

An  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $I$  of a  $\Gamma$ -hypernear-ring is said to be completely semi prime  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal if  $a \in M, \quad a \gamma a \in I \Rightarrow a \in I$

### Definition 3.3

Let  $A$  be proper  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $M$ . The intersection of all completely prime  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of  $M$  containing  $A$  is called completely prime hyper radical of  $A$  and is denoted by  $C\text{-rad}(A)$ .

### Theorem 3.4

$C\text{-rad}(A)$  is  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $M$ .

#### Proof:

(i) Let  $x \in \text{rad}(A), n \in M$

$$x \in \text{rad}(A) = \bigcap P$$

$$x \in P \text{ for all } P$$

Since  $P$  is a normal sub hypergroup, this implies that

$$n+x-n \in P \text{ for all } P, n \in M$$

$$\Rightarrow n+x-n \in \bigcap P, n \in M$$

$$\Rightarrow n+x-n \in \text{rad}(A), n \in M.$$

(ii) Assume that  $x \in \text{rad}(A), \alpha \in \Gamma, u \in M$

$$x \in \text{rad}(A) = \bigcap P$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in P \text{ for all } P$$

$$\text{Now } x \in P, \alpha \in \Gamma, u \in M$$

Since  $P$  is a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal,

$$\Rightarrow u \alpha x \in P \text{ for all } P$$

$$\Rightarrow u \alpha x \in \bigcap P$$

$$\Rightarrow u \alpha x \in \text{rad}(A)$$

(iii) Let  $x \in \text{rad}(A)$   $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $u, v \in M$

$$\text{Now } x \in \text{rad}(A) = \bigcap P$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in P \text{ for all } P$$

Since  $P$  is a right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal,

$$(u + x) \alpha v - u \alpha v \in P, \text{ for all } P$$

$$\Rightarrow (u + x) \alpha v - u \alpha v \in \bigcap P$$

$$(u + x) \alpha v - u \alpha v \in \text{rad}(A)$$

Hence  $C\text{-rad}(A)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal.

### Proposition 3.5

$C\text{-rad}(A)$  is completely prime  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal.

**Proof:**

$$\text{Let } a \gamma b \in \text{rad}(A) = \bigcap P$$

$$\Rightarrow a \gamma b \in \bigcap P$$

$$\Rightarrow a \gamma b \in P \text{ for all } P$$

But  $P$  is completely prime  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } a \in P \text{ or } b \in P \text{ for all } P$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } a \in \bigcap P \text{ or } b \in \bigcap P$$

$$\therefore \text{either } a \in C\text{-rad}(A) \text{ or } b \in C\text{-rad}(A)$$

Hence  $C\text{-rad}(A)$  is completely prime  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal

### Theorem 3.5:

Let  $A$  be a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $M$  then  $C\text{-rad}(A)$  is a completely semi prime  $\Gamma$ -hyper ideal

**Proof:**

Let  $S = C\text{-rad}(A) = \bigcap P$

Let  $a \in C\text{-rad}(A)$

$\Rightarrow a \in \bigcap P,$

$\Rightarrow a \in P, \text{ for all } P$

Since  $P$  is completely prime  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal

$a \in P, \text{ for all } P$

$\Rightarrow a \in \bigcap P$

$\Rightarrow a \in C\text{-rad}(A)$

Hence  $C\text{-rad}(A)$  is a completely semi prime  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal.

**4.Fuzzy Completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal**

**Definition 4.1**

A fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal  $\mu$  of  $M$  is called completely prime if for all  $x, y \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma, \mu(x \gamma y) \leq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}.$

**Definition 4.2**

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $M$ . The fuzzy completely prime hyper radical of  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\sqrt{\mu}$ , is defined by  $\sqrt{\mu} = \bigcap \{ \theta / \theta \text{ is a fuzzy completely prime hyper bi-}\Gamma\text{-ideal of } M \text{ containing } \mu \}$

**Lemma 4.3**

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $M$ . Then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $M$  if and only if for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  each level subset  $\mu_t$ , is a hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $M$ .

**Proposition 4.4**

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $M$ . Then  $\mu$  is a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $M$  if and only if for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  each level subset  $\mu_t$ , is a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $M$ .

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $\mu$  be a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . Then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . By Lemma 4.3,  $\mu_t$  is a hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . Let  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \gamma y \in \mu_t$ . Then  $\mu(x \gamma y) \geq t$ . Since  $\mu_t$  is a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ , we have  $\mu(x \gamma y) \leq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$ . Thus  $\max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \} \geq t$  which implies that  $\mu(x) \geq t$  or  $\mu(y) \geq t$ . Thus  $x \in \mu_t$  or  $y \in \mu_t$ .

Conversely assume that  $\mu_t$  is a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$  for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\mu_t$  is a hyper bi-  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $M$ . Again by lemma 4.3,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . Let  $x, y \in M$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\mu(x \gamma y) = t$ . Since  $\mu_t$  is a completely prime bi- ideal of  $M$  and  $x \gamma y \in \mu_t$ , we have  $x \in \mu_t$  or  $y \in \mu_t$  which implies that  $\mu(x) \geq t$  or  $\mu(y) \geq t$ . Thus  $\mu(x \gamma y) \leq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$ . Hence  $\mu$  is a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ .

**Lemma 4.5**

Let  $H$  be a non- empty subset of  $M$ . Then  $H$  is a hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$  if and only if the characteristic function  $\mu_H$  of  $H$  is a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ .

**Proposition 4.6**

Let  $H$  be a non- empty subset of  $M$ . Then  $H$  is a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$  if and only if  $\mu_H$  is a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ .

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $H$  is a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $M$  and  $\mu_H$  is the characteristic function of  $H$ . Then by Lemma 4.5,  $\mu_H$  is a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . Let  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $x \gamma y \in H$ , then  $\mu_H(x \gamma y) = 1$ . Since  $H$  is a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$  and  $x \gamma y \in H$ , we have  $x \in H$  or  $y \in H$ . Thus  $\mu_H(x) = 1$  or  $\mu_H(y) = 1$  which implies that  $\mu_H(x \gamma y) \leq \max \{ \mu_H(x), \mu_H(y) \}$ . If  $x \gamma y \notin H$ , then  $\mu_H(x \gamma y) = 0$ . Thus  $\mu_H(x \gamma y) \leq \max \{ \mu_H(x), \mu_H(y) \}$ . Conversely assume that  $\mu_H$  is a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . Then  $\mu_H$  is a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . By Lemma 4.5,  $H$  is a hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . Let  $x, y \in M$  be such that  $x \gamma y \in H$ .

Then  $\mu_H(x \gamma y) = 1$ . Since  $\mu_H(x \gamma y) \leq \max \{ \mu_H(x), \mu_H(y) \}$ , we have  $\max \{ \mu_H(x), \mu_H(y) \} = 1$ . Thus  $\mu_H(x) = 1$  or  $\mu_H(y) = 1$ . Hence  $x \in H$  or  $y \in H$ .

**Proposition 4.7**

If  $H$  is a hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$  then for any  $t \in (0,1)$ , there exists a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal  $\mu$  of  $M$  such that  $\mu_t = H$ .

**Proposition 4.8**

Let  $H$  be a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . For any  $t \in (0,1)$ , there exists a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$  such that  $\mu_t = H$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $t \in (0,1)$ . Then by Proposition 4.6, there exists a fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal  $\mu$  of  $M$  defined by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in H \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

such that  $\mu_t = H$ . If possible suppose  $\mu$  is not a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . Then there exists  $x, y \in M$  and some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\mu(x \gamma y) \geq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ . Using the definition of  $\mu$ , we get  $\mu(x) = 0$ ,  $\mu(y) = 0$  and  $\mu(x \gamma y) = t$ . Thus we get  $x \gamma y \in H$  and  $x \notin H$  and  $y \notin H$ . This is a contradiction since  $H$  is a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ . Hence  $\mu$  is a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ .

Now we omit the proofs which are straightforward.

**Proposition 4.9**

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $M$  with  $\text{Im}(\mu) = \{1, \alpha\}$  where  $\alpha \in [0,1)$ . Then  $\mu$  is a completely prime fuzzy hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$  if and only if  $M_\mu = \{x \in M / \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$  is a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ .

**Proposition 4.10**

Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a homomorphism. If  $\mu$  is a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ , then  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a completely prime hyper bi- $\Gamma$ - ideal of  $M$ .

## References

1. Barnes W.E, On the  $\Gamma$ - rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J.Math 18 (1966) 411-422.
2. Bijan Davvaz, Jianming Zhan, Kyug Ho KIM, Fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -hypernear-rings, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 59 (2010)2846-2853.
3. Booth G.L. A note on  $\Gamma$  -Near rings , Stud.sci. Math.Hunger 23 (1988) 471-475.
4. N.MeenaKumari and T.Tamizh Chelvam, Fuzzy bi-ideals in Gamma near-rings, Journal of Algebra and Discrete Structure, vol.9 (2011) No 1& 2.Pp43-52.
5. N.Meenakumari and T.Tamizh Chelvam, C-Prime Fuzzy bi-ideals in  $\Gamma$ -near –rings, International Journal of Algebra and Statistics Vol2:2(2013),10-14.
6. Satyanarayana Bhavanar, On Completely Prime and Completely Semi-prime Ideals in  $\Gamma$ -near-rings, International Journal of Computational Mathematical Ideas, Vol-2, No.1&2, 2010. PP 22-27.
7. Satyanarayana Bhavanari A Note on Completely Semi-Prime Ideals in Near-rings International Journal of Computational Mathematical Ideas,Vol,1No.3 (2009) 107-112.
8. T.Tamizh Chelvam and N.Meenakumari, Bi-ideals of Gamma Near-rings, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 27 (2003), 1-7.